

Remarks:

This amendment is submitted in an earnest effort to advance this case to issue without delay.

Main claim 17 has been edited to make it somewhat clearer, in part by eliminating reference to the "support frame" all of whose elements are described. In addition the claim makes it clear now that the longitudinally extending first and second bars surround the resistor and generally only expose it downwardly. This way radiant heat from it is directed downward while, inherently, convective heat moves upward. In addition other improvements and clarification have been added to claim 17 to more fully define over the cited art, in particular US 3,548,154 of Christiansson.

More particularly there is nothing in Christiansson that resembles the array of three bars surrounding the resistor portion, with two horizontally flanking it and one above it. This way the resistor portion, which is considerably more fragile than the surrounding bars, is effectively protected. At the same time most of the resistor's radiant heat is deflected downward and outward. As a result the resistor is effectively shielded from any harm, while its ability to heat the lower chamber radiantly and the upper chamber inductively are not in any way impeded. Since hot air is

going to convectively rise around the resistor, there is no need to heat radiantly upward.

Furthermore the claimed bent-in portions of the side bars grip the resistor, so it is efficiently and solidly mounted. Nothing like this is shown in Christiansson where the structure indicated at BI from FIG. 1 is nothing other than a side rail for a shelf. In Christiansson the resistor is mounted underneath the lower frame and above the top frame.

US 2,872,558 of Price adds nothing to the teachings of Christiansson to anticipate the instant invention. Here the resistor is mounted atop a bottom support frame, with nothing resembling the surrounding and protecting bars of the instant invention.

No §103 rejection of the amended claims is possible under §103 on the combination of Christiansson and Price. The claims are in condition for allowance.

If only minor problems that could be corrected by means of a telephone conference stand in the way of allowance of this

case, the examiner is invited to call the undersigned to make the necessary corrections.

K.F. Ross P.C.

*/Andrew Wilford/*

by: Andrew Wilford, 26,597  
Attorney for Applicant

10 March 2010  
5683 Riverdale Avenue Box 900  
Bronx, NY 10471-0900  
Cust. No.: 535  
Tel: 718 884-6600  
Fax: 718 601-1099  
Email: [email@kfrpc.com](mailto:email@kfrpc.com)

Enclosure: None.